

Overview of Indirect Costs Presented to VETS Grantees

February 19, 2020

Victor M. Lopez, Director Office of Cost Determination Iopez.victor@dol.gov

Topics We Will Cover

- What is the Office of Cost Determination?
- Why is an agreement needed, Who is the FCA?
- Indirect Cost Proposals
- Types of Costs
- Types of Indirect Costs
- Allocation of Indirect Costs
- Understanding the Federally Approved Rate Agreement
- Prime recipient indirect cost responsibilities for subrecipients
- Understanding the Grant Award



What is the Office of Cost Determination (OCD)?

Office of Cost Determination, OCPO, BOC, OASAM, USDOL 200 Constitution Ave., N.W. S-1510 Washington, D.C. 20210 (202) 693-4100

Our office has been in existence since 1972. We are primarily responsible for reviewing, negotiating, and approving indirect cost rates and cost allocation plans for entities receiving <u>direct</u> Federal funds primarily from the U.S. Department of Labor, on behalf of the Federal Government. We also perform cost analysis on pre-award reviews, and audit resolution. Our reviews are based on the following cost principles:

Entities	Cost Principles		
State, Local, Indian Tribal Govs.	2 CFR Part 200; Appendix V & VII*		
Non-Profit	2 CFR Part 200; Appendix IV*		
Commercial	Federal Acquisition Regulations, Part 31		

In <u>FY19</u>, OCD completed 508 reviews of indirect cost rate proposals and cost allocation plans.

OCD's Website (1 of 3)



DOL Home > OASAM > BOC > Office of Cost Determination

Topics

- Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)
- Ouestions and Answers from ETA webinars
- Guidance for Indirect Rate Determination For Non-Profit / Commercial
- Guidance on Indirect Costs for State / Local Governments
- External Regulations and Q&A Guidance, including ETA TEGLs
- Partial List of Other Federal Cognizant Agencies Approving Indirect Rates

About OCD

Office of Cost Determination

The Office of Cost Determination (OCD) has delegated authority to negotiate and issue indirect cost rates (ICR) and cost allocation plans (CAPs) on behalf of the Federal Government, for organizations receiving the preponderance of direct Federal funds from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). The negotiated ICR rates and CAPs are utilized to properly support indirect cost billings and close-out efforts on cost reimbursable grants and contracts.

SEARCH

f 🏏 🖂 .

SHARE

OCD performs four main functions:

- 1. Negotiate, issue, and maintain a file of indirect cost rates and cost allocation plans based on regulatory guidance applicable to state/local organizations, non-profit organizations and commercial organizations.
- 2. Conduct the audit resolution process for indirect costs and cost allocation findings resulting from audit reports issued by DOL's Office of the Inspector General.
- 3. Provide liaison, coordination, and technical assistance to federal agencies, DOL personnel, grantees, and contractors on indirect cost issues through formal and informal communication.
- 4. When requested, provide pre-award cost analysis reports to Contracting Officers in DOL based on FAR 15.404-1(c).

For any questions, access our directory listing in Contact Us. You may also contact the OCD National Office in Washington, D.C. at (202) 693-4100.

https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasam/business-operations-center/cost**determination**

OCD's Website (2 of 3)

An official website of the United States gov	ernment. <u>Here's how you know</u> ~								
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR									
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration & Management FAQ CONTACT US									
EENTERS AND OFFICES 🗸 ABOUT US 🥆	✓ RESOURCES FOR NEW DOL EMPLOYEES CONTACT US ✓	Q Search OASAM							
SAM > BOC > Office of Cost Determination >	Guidance for Indirect Rate Determination For Non-Profit / Commercial								
Guidance for In Commercial	direct Rate Determination For Non-Profit /	/							
Office of Administrative Services (OAS)	A Guide for Indirect Cost Rate Determination — App	licable to							
Office of Asset and Resource Management	Nonprofit and Commercial Organizations								
Office of Cost Determination	Based on the cost principles of 2 CFR Part 200 , Subpart E & Appendix IV for Nonprofit Organizations, and FAR 31.2 for Commercial Organizations. View the entire guide (<u>PDF</u>).								
Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)	Note : After reviewing the guide, preparing an indirect cost proposal using the checklist on page II-4, and determining that you need to submit it to this office, please mail a hard copy of this document to the applicable OCD address at: https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasam/business-operations-center/cost-determination/contact .								
Questions and Answers from ETA Webinars	Examples of financial schedules for indirect cost rate proposal based on Section III of the above guide (XLS) Note : Only provided for convenience purposes. The content of schedules must be based on the organizations' own records (Example, Exhibit D or E must be based on the organizations' chart of accounts). The applicable formulas in the document must also be verified.								
Guidance for Indirect Rate Determination For Non- Profit / Commercial									
Guidance on Indirect Costs for State/Local									

-

6/5/2019

OCD's Website (3 of 3)

External Regulations and Q&A Guidance, Including ETA TEGLs

Office of Administrative Services (OAS)

Office of Asset and Resource Management

Office of Cost Determination

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Questions and Answers from ETA Webinars

Guidance for Indirect Rate Determination For Non-Profit / Commercial

Guidance on Indirect Costs for State/Local Governments

External Regulations and Q&A Guidance, Including ETA TEGLs

- 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards
 <u>2 CFR Part 2900 On December 19, 2014, the Department of Labor (DOL) issued its Final Rule entitled Uniform</u>
 Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards adopting the OMB Rule with
- DOL exceptions
- <u>2 CFR Part 200 FAQs</u> July 2017 (PDF)
- OMB Circular A-122, Cost Principles for Non-profit Organizations
- OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State/Local Governments
- HHS Implementation Guide for Circular A-87 (PDF)
- GSA Federal Acquisition Regulations Part 31 Contract Cost Principles
- <u>Cost Accounting Standards (CAS)</u>
- ETA TEGLs:
 - · Compensation limitations established for certain ETA programs

TEGL 5-06

 Allocation of Costs of Assessing and Collecting State Taxes that are Collected in Conjunction with the State Unemployment Compensation Tax

TEGL 6-05

- Allocation of Costs of Assessing and Collecting Penalty and Interest under State Unemployment Compensation Laws <u>TEGL 15-09</u>
- Job Corps Compensation Guidance (PDF) dated January 13, 2009
 - **Note**: This guidance limited individual contractor's compensation to OPM Executive Level I, through December 31, 2011. Effective January 1, 2012, public law limits individual contractor's compensation to OPM Executive Level II. For more information, access the following: <u>Updated guidance on Job Corps compensation</u> (PDF), or contact us.

Why is an agreement needed, who is the Federal cognizant agency (FCA)?

Why a Federally approved Indirect Cost Rate or approved CAP is needed?

- Compliance with Federal regulations and cost principles
- Required in Federal Awards to support claimed indirect costs, when the organization receives more than one Federal grant/contract during a given FY.
- Management information
- Documentation for auditors
- <u>Closeout and Post-Closeout purposes</u> Need to have approved rates or CAPs for the life of the Federal award.

Who approves indirect cost rates?

 The Federal agency providing the largest dollar volume of <u>direct</u> funding is normally used to determine the cognizant Federal agency (responsible for negotiating/approving indirect costs).

See OCD website for partial list of Federal cognizant agencies: <u>https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasam/centers-offices/business-operations-center/cost-determination/agency-list</u>



Indirect Cost Proposals

How often are indirect cost proposals due?

- In compliance with Federal regulations and cost principles - <u>2 CFR Part</u> 200 states:
 - b. Except as otherwise provided in §200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs paragraph (f) of this Part, a nonprofit organization which has not previously established an indirect cost rate with a Federal agency must submit its initial indirect cost proposal immediately after the organization is advised that a Federal award will be made and, in no event, later than three months after the effective date of the Federal award.
 - c. Unless approved by the cognizant agency for indirect costs in accordance with §200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs paragraph (g) of this Part, organizations that have previously established indirect cost rates must submit a new indirect cost proposal to the cognizant agency for indirect costs within six months after the close of each fiscal year.



Initial Proposal after receiving award!

> Annual proposal based on actual costs!

What should be included in the Indirect Cost Proposals?

- Org. Chart, Timesheets/ Documentation supporting allocation of personnel expenses.
- <u>Cost Policy Statement</u> Describing all costs elements line item by line item and providing how these costs are allocated. Example, 100% indirect, indirect & direct, share direct, or 100% direct, etc.
- Personnel Cost Worksheet, Allocation of Personnel Costs.
- Statement of Fringes (if applicable).
- Statement of Total Costs (including rate calculations...)
- Certificate of Indirect Costs
- Financial Statements
- Listing of Federal Grants and Contracts showing source of Funding, grant/contract amounts, relevant dates.

See: https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASAM/legacy/files/DCD-2-CFR-Guide.pdf Page II-4 of the indirect cost guide





Indirect Cost Negotiation Process

- If the grantee has awards <u>directly</u> with the federal government and DOL is the Federal Cognizant Agency, OCD:
 - Reviews the proposal for unallowable, unallocable and/or unreasonable costs
 - Reviews additional documentation if necessary
 - Performs trend analysis if needed
 - Advise of unallowable, unallocable or unreasonable items and negotiate these costs as appropriate.
 - Issues a rate agreement to formalize the negotiation results.
 - Proposals are typically reviewed within 150 days from receipt.





Methodologies to charge Indirect Costs State/Local Governments

Indirect Cost Rate (ICR)*

- Allocates indirect costs to the grant(s) through an approved rate.
- Allocation base examples: direct salaries & Wages, MTDCs, etc.
- Typically adjusted on a <u>yearly</u> basis to account for actual costs incurred when using provisional/ final rates.
- Rate agreement completes negotiation.

Cost Allocation Plan (CAPs)

- Allocates indirect costs the grant(s) through an approved cost allocation methodology.
- Allocation base examples: direct hours paid, direct FTEs, etc.
- Typically adjusted and charged to grants on a monthly basis based on actual costs.
- CAP letter with supplemental schedule of allocated indirect costs completes negotiation.

Similarities between ICRs and CAPs:

Both methods achieve the <u>same</u> result when allocating indirect costs; require similar documentation for indirect cost proposal including: narratives explaining how all costs are allocated, financial schedules, and certifications of compliance with cost principles.

* Preferred method by Federal funding agencies. <u>Non-profit</u> organizations use this method; Appendix IV of 2 CFR Part 200 is <u>silent</u> on CAPs.

2 CFR Part 200, Appendix <u>VII</u>, Guidance on <u>CAPs</u>

Appendix VII to Part 200—States and Local Government and Indian Tribe Indirect Cost Proposals

A. General

3. Indirect costs are <u>normally</u> charged to Federal awards by the use of an indirect cost rate...

F. Other Policies

3. Indirect Cost Allocations Not Using Rates

In certain situations, governmental departments or agencies (components of the governmental unit), because of the nature of their Federal awards, may be required to develop a cost allocation plan that distributes indirect (and, in some cases, direct) costs to the specific funding sources. In these cases, a narrative cost allocation methodology should be developed, documented, maintained for audit, or submitted, as appropriate, to the cognizant agency for indirect costs for review, negotiation, and approval.

<u>Note</u>: In addition to narrative, OCD also requires cost schedules supporting the allocation of indirect costs.

Types of Costs

To be chargeable to a Federal grant/contract, direct & indirect costs must be:

Allowable

Reasonable



Allocable

See: 2 CFR Part 200.403-405

What are **Indirect** Costs?

Costs that are <u>not</u> readily identifiable with a particular cost objective.

Examples:

-Salaries – Executive Director, Accountant, Fiscal, HR, Payroll, etc.



- Space sq. ft. occupied by <u>indirect</u> staff
- -Supplies used by indirect staff
- Communications used by <u>indirect</u> staff

See: 2 CFR 200.414

What are **Direct** Costs?

Costs that are readily identified with a particular cost objective.

Examples (program specific):

- Salaries –VETS program staff
- Space sq. ft. occupied by <u>direct</u> staff
- Supplies used by <u>direct</u> staff
- Communications used by <u>direct</u> staff

See: 2 CFR 200.413

A ratio (whereby an indirect cost pool is divided by a direct cost base), which is then expressed as a percentage. Example:



What are total costs according to the Federal Cost Principles?

Total Costs = Direct Costs + Indirect Costs - Applicable Credits.

See: 2 CFR Part 200.402

Unallowable Costs

Specifically identified in the grant/contract as being unallowable, or identified in 2 CFR Part 200. Examples of expressly unallowable indirect costs are:

- Advertising and Public Relations
- Bad Debts
- Contributions
- Entertainment Costs
- Fundraising and Lobbying

Direct vs. Indirect Costs

 <u>Consistency</u> must be observed considering allowability of costs when allocating direct or indirect costs (2 CFR Part 200.403(c)).

 The Cost Policy Statement (CPS) (which should be submitted with a proposal) must explain how the grantee treats all costs within the accounting system.

Indirect Costs/Direct Costs in an Organizational Structure Chart



Types of Indirect Cost Rates

Types of Indirect Cost Rates

- Billing
- Provisional
- Final
- Fixed
- Predetermined
- De minimis



Billing Rate

- Rate stated in the award (<u>subject to</u> <u>negotiation by grant/contract officer</u>) to allow recovery of indirect costs until an indirect cost proposal is completed and submitted.
- Normally only valid for 90 days.
- Retroactively adjusted using the rate approved by the Federal cognizant agency.



Provisional Rate

- Set by the Federal cognizant agency.
- A rate based on projected information, historical information, or a combination of the two for the organization's fiscal year
- This rate allows for recovery of indirect costs during the contract/grant period until the rate can be finalized
 See: 2 CFR Part 200, Appendix IV, C.1.

Final Rate

- Set by the Federal cognizant agency.
- The experienced indirect cost rate based on actual data for the organization's fiscal year
- All <u>provisional</u> indirect cost rates must eventually be "finalized"



See: 2 CFR Part 200, Appendix IV, C.1.

Fixed Rate

- Set by the Federal cognizant agency.
- A permanent rate that is not subject to change
- Any differences between estimated and actual costs are carried forward as adjustments to rate computations in future periods
- Typically approved for state/local organizations.
 See: 2 CFR Part 200, Appendix IV, C.1.

Predetermined Rate

- Set by the Federal cognizant agency.
- A rate negotiated for a certain length of time, usually several years
- Not subject to change

See: 2 CFR Part 200, Appendix IV, C.1.

De minimis Rate

10 percent de minimis rate

- Any non-Federal entity that has never received a negotiated indirect cost rate [with exceptions] ... may elect to charge a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct costs (MTDC) which may be used indefinitely (§200.414 (f)).
- Subject to review/approval by grant officer.

2 CFR Part 200.68 Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC).

• *MTDC* means all direct salaries and wages, applicable fringe benefits, materials and supplies, services, travel, and up to the first \$25,000 of each subaward (regardless of the period of performance of the subawards under the award).

MTDC excludes:

- A. equipment,
- B. capital expenditures,
- C. charges for patient care,
- D. rental costs,
- E. tuition remission,
- F. scholarships and fellowships,
- G. participant support costs and the portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000.
- Other items may only be excluded when necessary to avoid a serious inequity in the distribution of indirect costs, and with the approval of the cognizant agency for indirect costs.

10 % de minimis applied to MTDC Allocation Base

			Include in 10% Deminimis	Allocation Base for 10%	Total Allowable
Typical line items for Direct Costs		<u>Total</u>	Allocation Base?	<u>Deminimis</u>	Budgeted Costs
Personnel		\$700,000	Y	\$700,000	\$700,000
Fringe Benefits		\$210,000	Y	\$210,000	\$210,000
Travel		\$30,000	Y	\$30,000	\$30,000
Rent		\$24,000	N ——		→ \$24,000
Utilities		\$5,000	Y	\$5,000	\$5,000
Insurance/Bonding		\$2,500	Y	\$2,500	\$2,500
Equipment		\$15,000	N —		────> \$15,000
Supplies		\$5,000	Y	\$5,000	\$5,000
Contractual - Subawards		\$100,000	Y	\$25,000 *	\$100,000
Consultant Services		\$10,000	Y	\$10,000	\$10,000
Participant Support Costs/					
Related Supportive Services		\$300,000	N ——		<u>→</u> \$300,000
Other		\$5,000	Y	\$5,000	\$5,000
Total Direct Costs		\$1,406,500		\$992,500	\$1,406,500
Indirect Costs (10% Deminimis)	10%	\leftarrow		── > \$99,250 -	→ \$99,250
Total Costs					\$1,505,750
	* Include the 1st \$25,000 for each subaward.				

Allocation of Indirect Costs
Examples of Allocation of Indirect Costs using (2) different allocation bases:

- Total Direct Salaries
- Total Direct Costs





* Must reconcile to the Financial Statements.

Simple Example - Allocation of Indirect Costs Rent and Utilities for 3 Roommates; how to allocate those "indirect" costs?

		Method 2 - using salaries				
\$2,650		Monthly rent & utilities		\$2,650	а	
3						
						\square
Allocable monthly rent & utilities:		Step 1 Allocating using salaries:		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
\$883		Roommate A	\$5,000			
\$883		Roommate B	\$4,000			
\$ <u>\$883</u>		Roommate C	\$2,000			
l \$2,650		Total Salaries		\$11,000 b		
		Indirect Rate	ct Rate 24.09%		c=a/b	
	Step 2	p 2 Rate application to recover rent & utilities:				
				Allocable		
			Salaries	Times Rate		
		Roommate A	\$5,000	24.09%	\$1,205	
		Roommate B	\$4,000	24.09%	\$964	
		Roommate C	\$2,000	24.09%	<u>\$482</u>	
				Total		
				Allocated	\$2,650	
\ }	3 es: \$883 \$883 \$883	3 Step 1 \$883 \$883 \$883 \$883 \$883 \$883 \$883 \$88	\$2,650Monthly rent & utilities3A3A65:Step 1\$883Allocating using salaries:\$883A\$893A\$893A <t< td=""><td>\$2,650 Monthly rent & utilities 3 Allocating using salaries: \$883 Allocating using salaries:</td><td>\$2,650Monthly rent & utilities\$2,6503Image: Step 1Allocating using salaries:Image: Step 1\$883Step 1Allocating using salaries:Image: Step 1\$883Image: Step 2Total Salaries\$11,000\$2,650Image: Step 2Total Salaries\$11,000\$2,650Image: Step 2Rate application to recover rent & utilities:Image: Step 2Rate application to recover rent & utilities:SalariesImage: Step 2Roommate A\$5,00024.09%Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Roommate A\$2,000Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2<t< td=""><td>\$2,650 Monthly rent & utilities \$2,650 a 3 A A A A 3 A A A A \$883 A A A A \$2,650 A A A A \$2,650 A A A A</td></t<></td></t<>	\$2,650 Monthly rent & utilities 3 Allocating using salaries: \$883 Allocating using salaries:	\$2,650Monthly rent & utilities\$2,6503Image: Step 1Allocating using salaries:Image: Step 1\$883Step 1Allocating using salaries:Image: Step 1\$883Image: Step 2Total Salaries\$11,000\$2,650Image: Step 2Total Salaries\$11,000\$2,650Image: Step 2Rate application to recover rent & utilities:Image: Step 2Rate application to recover rent & utilities:SalariesImage: Step 2Roommate A\$5,00024.09%Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Roommate A\$2,000Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2Image: Step 2 <t< td=""><td>\$2,650 Monthly rent & utilities \$2,650 a 3 A A A A 3 A A A A \$883 A A A A \$2,650 A A A A \$2,650 A A A A</td></t<>	\$2,650 Monthly rent & utilities \$2,650 a 3 A A A A 3 A A A A \$883 A A A A \$2,650 A A A A \$2,650 A A A A

Understanding the Agreement

Example of a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA)

NEGOTIATED INDIRECT COST RATE AGREEMENT NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

ORGANIZATION:

XYZ Nonprofit Agency, Inc. Podunk, AL 99999-9999 DATE: December 1, 200XFILE REF: This replaces the negotiation agreement dated October 12, 200X

The rates approved in this Agreement are for use on grants, contracts, and other agreements with the Federal Government. OMB Circular A-122 applies, subject to the conditions in Section II, A, below. The rates were negotiated by ABC Organization and the U.S. Department of Labor in accordance with the authority contained in Attachment A, Section E.2.a., of the Circular.

Indirect rates for fiscal years that begin on or after 12/26/2014 are subject to 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E, in accordance with the authority contained in 2 CFR, Part 200, Appendix IV, C.2.

NICRA Rates...

	<u>Effectiv</u>				
<u>Type</u>	From	To	<u>Rate</u> *	Location	Applicable to
Final	7/1/15	6/30/17	14.25%	All	All Programs
Final	7/1/16	6/30/18	15.25%	All	All Programs
Provisional	7/1/17	6/30/19	17.17%	A11	All Programs
Provisional	7/1/18	6/30/20 (See Special R	16.43% Cemarks)	All	All Programs

***ALLOCATION BASE:**

Total direct salaries and wages including vacation, holiday and sick pay but excluding all other fringe benefits.

TREATMENT OF FRINGE BENEFITS:

Fringe benefits are specifically identified to each employee and are charged individually as direct costs. The directly claimed fringe benefits are listed in the Special Remarks Section of this Agreement.

TREATMENT OF PAID ABSENCES:

Vacation, holiday, sick leave and other paid absences are included in salaries and wages and are claimed on grants, contracts and other agreements as part of the normal cost for salaries and wages. Separate claims for these absences are not made.

A. LIMITATIONS: Use of the rate(s) contained in this Agreement is subject to any statutory or administrative limitations and is applicable to a given grant or contract only to the extent that funds are available. Acceptance of the rate(s) agreed to herein is predicated upon the following conditions:

(1) that no costs other than those incurred by the grantee/contractor or allocated to the grantee/contractor via an approved central service cost allocation plan were included in its indirect cost pool as finally accepted and that such incurred costs are legal obligations of the grantee/contractor and allowable under the governing cost principles,

(2) that the same costs that have been treated as indirect costs have not been claimed as direct costs,

(3) that similar types of costs have been accorded consistent treatment, and (4) that the information provided by the grantee/contractor which was used as a basis for acceptance of the rate(s) agreed to herein is not subsequently found to be materially inaccurate.

...Also, the rates cited in this Agreement are subject to audit.

B. CHANGES: The grantee/contractor is required to provide written notification to the indirect cost negotiator prior to implementing any changes which could affect the applicability of the approved rates. Changes in the indirect cost recovery plan, which may result from changes such as the method of accounting or organizational structure, require the <u>prior written approval</u> of the Office of Cost Determination (OCD). Failure to obtain such approval may result in subsequent cost disallowance.

C. NOTIFICATION TO FEDERAL AGENCIES: A copy of this document is to be provided by this organization to other Federal funding sources as a means of notifying them of the Agreement contained herein.

D. PROVISIONAL-FINAL RATES AND ADJUSTMENTS: When seeking initial reimbursement of indirect costs using the provisional/rate methodology, provisional rates must be in established within 90 days of receiving a Federal award (financial assistance, grants, cooperative agreements, and cost reimbursable contracts) requiring to account for actual costs incurred. The non-Federal entity or contractor must submit an indirect cost rate proposal within six (6) months after the end of their fiscal year to establish a final rate.

Once a final rate is negotiated, billings and charges to federal awards must be adjusted if the final rate varies from the provisional rate. If the final rate is <u>greater</u> than the provisional rate and there are no funds available to cover the additional indirect costs, the non-Federal entity or contractor may not recover all indirect costs. Conversely, if the final rate is <u>less</u> than the provisional rate, the non-Federal entity or contractor will be required to reimburse the funding agency for the excess billings.

Non-Federal entities or contractors receiving a Federal cost reimbursable contract(s) - Must adhere with FAR 52.216-7(d)(2)(v), to settle final indirect cost rates typically on annual basis:

"The contractor shall update the billings on all contracts to reflect the final settled rates and update the schedule of cumulative direct and indirect costs claimed and billed, as required in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(I) of this sections, within 60 days after settlement of final indirect cost rates."

In addition, the contractor shall provide to the Contracting Officer the noted cumulative costs schedule within 60 days of the execution of this agreement.

If the non-Federal entity or contractor has completed performance under any of the contracts covered by this Agreement, a final invoice or voucher must be submitted no later than 120 days from the date on which this Agreement is executed, following guidance from FAR 52.216-7(d)(5) and FAR 52.216-7(h).

Non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (financial assistance, grants, and

<u>cooperative agreements</u>) – Note that even if Federal awards are administratively closed prior to the settlement of final indirect cost rates, non-Federal entities still must comply with the following 2 CFR Part 200 clauses stating, in part:

§200.344 Post-closeout adjustments and continuing responsibilities

(a) The closeout of a Federal award <u>does not</u> affect any of the following:

(1) The right of the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity to disallow costs and recover funds on the basis of a later audit or other review. The Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity must make any cost disallowance determination and notify the non-Federal entity within the record retention period.

(2) The obligation of the non-Federal entity to return any funds due as a result of later refunds, corrections, or other transactions <u>including final indirect cost rate adjustments</u>.

§200.345 Collection of amounts due

(a) Any funds paid to the non-Federal entity in excess of the amount to which the non-Federal entity is finally determined to be entitled under the terms of the Federal award constitute a debt to the Federal Government.

(b) Except where otherwise provided by statutes or regulations, the Federal awarding agency will charge interest on an overdue debt in accordance with the Federal Claims Collection Standards (31 CFR parts 900 through 999). The date from which interest is computed is not extended by litigation or the filing of any form of appeal.

E. SPECIAL REMARKS:

1. Indirect costs charged to Federal grants/contracts by means other than the rate(s) cited in this Agreement should be adjusted to the applicable rate cited herein and applied to the appropriate base to identify the proper amount of indirect costs allocable to the program.

2. Grants/contracts providing for ceilings as to the indirect cost rates(s) or amount(s) which are indicated in Section I above, will be subject to the ceilings stipulated in the contract or grant agreements. The ceiling rate or the rate(s) cited in this Agreement, whichever is lower, will be used to determine the maximum allowable indirect cost on the grant or contract agreement.

ACCEPTANCE BY THE COGNIZANT AGENCY

BY THE ORGANIZATION:

XYZ Nonprofit Agency, Inc.

(Organization)

(Signature)

Jane Doe

(Name)

Executive Director (Title)

(Date)

ON BEHALF OF THE FED GOV:

<u>U. S. Department of Labor</u>

(Agency)

(Signature) <u>Victor M. Lopez</u>

(Name)

Director, Office of Cost Determination (Title) <u>December xx, 20xx</u>. (Date) Negotiator: Telephone No.: (202)693-41xx

- Appendix VII to Part 200—States and Local Government and Indian Tribe Indirect Cost Proposals States, in part:
- D. Submission and Documentation of Proposals1. Submission of Indirect Cost Rate Proposals
- b. Where a non-Federal entity only receives funds as a subrecipient, the <u>pass-through entity</u> will be responsible for negotiating and/or monitoring the subrecipient's indirect costs.

2 CFR Part §200.331 – Requirements for pass-through entities (1 of 2)

See 2 CFR Part §200.331 Requirements for pass-through entities (states, in part):

All pass-through entities must:

(a) Ensure that every subaward is clearly identified to the subrecipient as a subaward and includes the following information at the time of the subaward and if any of these data elements change, include the changes in subsequent subaward modification...

2 CFR Part §200.331 – Requirements for pass-through entities (2 of 2)

(1) Federal Award Identification.

(xiii) Indirect cost rate for the Federal award (including if the de minimis rate is charged per §200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs).

(4) An approved federally recognized indirect cost rate negotiated between the subrecipient and the Federal Government or, if no such rate exists, either a:

- rate negotiated between the pass-through entity and the subrecipient (in compliance with this part), <u>or</u>

- de minimis indirect cost rate as defined in §200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs, paragraph (f);



If at some point they receive direct Federal funding, NICRA approved by Prime pass-through cannot be used. A new NICRA issued by FCA must be negotiated/issued, which would be application to all sub funding. This should be effective after expiration of current prime/sub NICRA agreements.

Understanding the Grant Agreement

Grant Agreement

A. A current Federally approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) or current federally approved Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) has been provided – copy attached.

For a NICRA only:

- (1) Indirect Rate approved: %
- (2) Type of Indirect Cost Rate: _____ (i.e. Provisional/Predetermined/Fixed)
- (3) Allocation Distribution Base:
- (4) Current beginning and ending period applicable to rate:

Estimated Indirect Costs are shown on the SF-424A budget form. If a new NICRA is issued during the grant's period of performance, it must be provided to DOL within 30 days of issuance. Funds may be rebudgeted as necessary between direct and indirect costs consistent with grant requirements and DOL regulations for prior approval, however the total amount of grant award funding will not be increased. Any budget changes impacting the Statement of Work and agreed upon outcomes or deliverables require a request for modification and prior approval from the Grant Officer.

OCD Comments: Review above information for accuracy and recommend asking the grantee to provide (a) reconciliation schedule between claimed indirect costs in grant and NICRA/CAP proposed to support indirect costs proposed as well as (b) brief narrative explaining methodology to arrive at claimed costs.



- B. (1)____Latest NICRA or CAP approved by the Federal Cognizant Agency (FCA) is not current, or
 - (2)_____An indirect cost rate proposal or CAP has not been submitted for approval.

URGENT NOTICE: Estimated indirect costs have been specified on the SF-424A, Section B, Object Class Category "j", however only \$[N/A or 10% of the Personnel line] will be released to support indirect costs in the absence of a NICRA or CAP approved by the cognizant agency. The remaining funds which have been awarded for Indirect Costs are restricted and may not be used for any purpose until the recipient provides a signed copy of the NICRA or CAP and the restriction is lifted by the Grant Officer. Upon receipt of the NICRA or CAP, the Grant Officer will issue a grant modification to the award to remove the restriction on those funds.

OCD Comments: Self explanatory.

_____C. The recipient <u>elected to exclude indirect costs from the proposed budget</u>. Please be aware that incurred indirect costs (such as top management salaries, financial oversight, human resources, payroll, personnel, auditing costs, accounting and legal, etc. used for the general oversight and administration of the organization) must not be classified as direct costs; these types of costs are indirect costs. Only direct costs, as defined by the applicable cost principles, will be charged. Audit disallowances may occur if indirect costs are misclassified as direct costs in accordance with 2 CFR 200.412.

<u>OCD Comments</u>: Recommend reviewing the grantee's proposed budget to verify that the organization is not shifting typical indirect costs to direct to avoid submitting indirect cost proposals.

D. The recipient has never received a negotiated indirect cost rate and, pursuant to the exceptions noted at 2 CFR 200.414(f) in the Cost Principles of the Uniform Guidance has elected to charge a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct costs (see 2 CFR 200.68 for definition of MTDC) which may be used indefinitely. Governmental departments or agencies that receive more than \$35 million in direct Federal Funding must submit an indirect cost rate proposal and cannot request a de minimis rate. This methodology must be used consistently for all Federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate for an indirect cost rate, which you may apply to do at any time. (See 2 CFR 200.414(f) for more information on use of the de minimis rate.)



<u>OCD Comments</u>: Recommend reviewing the grantee's proposed budget to verify the 10% deminimis rate applied to the MTDC allocation base with the noted inclusions and exclusions in 2 CFR 200.68.

Note that OCD or Federal cognizant agencies don't approve this type of rate.

- If DOL is your FCA, recipients must work with DOL's OCD, which has delegated authority to negotiate and issue a NICRA or CAP on behalf of the Federal Government. More information about DOL's OCD is available at <u>https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasam/business-operationscenter/cost-determination</u>. This website has guidelines to develop indirect cost rates, links to the applicable cost principles, and contact information. The OCD also has Frequently Asked Questions providing general information about the indirect cost rate approval process and due dates for provisional and final indirect cost rate proposals at https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasam/business-operations-center/officeof-cost-determination/faq.
- Starting the quarter ending September 30, 2016, all grant recipients with an approved NICRA or de minimis rate must report indirect costs on their FINAL ETA-9130 Form. Please see TEGL 2-16 for additional guidance at https://wdr.doleta.gov/directives/attach/TEGL/TEGL_2-16_acc.pdf

RECAP

- What is the Office of Cost Determination?
- Why is an agreement needed?
- Indirect Cost Proposals
- Types of Cost
- Types of Indirect Cost
- Allocation of Indirect Costs
- Understanding the Agreement
- Understanding the Grant Award





Typical Q&As related to Provisional/Final Rates 1 of 2

- Q&A 344-1 <u>Closeout for Awards Without a Final Indirect Cost Rate</u> *When a Federal agency needs to complete closeout actions for a Federal award and the recipient does not yet have a final indirect cost rate (it may have a provisional rate or a fixed rate with a carry forward), should the agency closeout the award and then re-open it if a revision is needed?
- Yes. The Federal agency should complete all closeout actions for Federal awards no later than one year after receipt and acceptance of all required final reports using the provisional or fixed rate (See §200.343(g)) (not applicable to government cost type contracts under FAR). The Federal agency should not wait to complete its closeout action until a final rate is established by the cognizant agency for indirect costs. An agency that has a fixed with carryforward rate can close out its awards using these rates because they are considered final as any adjustments are rolled into future indirect cost rates. A Federal agency may reopen an award for adjustment when a final indirect cost rate is issued (See §200.344 (a)(2). Also note that all adjustments are subject to availability of agency funds.

Typical Q&As related to Provisional/Final Rates 2 of 2

- Q&A 414-8 (Also applicable to 200.331) Federally negotiated indirect cost rates – voluntary under-charging
- Section 200.414(c) says "The negotiated rates must be accepted by all Federal awarding agencies. A Federal awarding agency may use a rate different from the negotiated rate...only when required by Federal statute or regulation, or when approved by a Federal awarding agency head or delegate based on documented justification." For pass-through entities, FAQ .331-6 says "If the subrecipient already has a negotiated F&A rate with the Federal government, the negotiated rate must be used. It also is not permissible for pass-through entities to force or entice a proposed subrecipient without a negotiated rate to accept less than the de minimis rate." However, some non-Federal entities voluntarily choose to not charge indirect costs for certain Federal programs or choose to charge less than their full negotiated rate, to allow a greater share of the Federal program funds to be used for the direct program costs. Can Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities permit this practice when it is truly voluntary?
- Yes. If a non-Federal entity receiving a direct Federal award or a subrecipient voluntarily chooses to waive indirect costs or charge less than the full indirect cost rate, Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities can allow this. The decision must be made solely by the non-Federal entity or subrecipient that is eligible for IDC reimbursement, and must not be encouraged or coerced in any way by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity.







RECAP....

- What is the Office of Cost Determination?
- Why is an agreement needed, Who is the FCA?
- Indirect Cost Proposals
- Types of Costs
- Types of Indirect Costs
- Allocation of Indirect Costs
- Understanding the Federally Approved Rate Agreement
- Prime recipient indirect cost responsibilities for subrecipients
- Understanding the Grant Award

